

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,466	03/01/2004	Stephen P. Dulac	PD-202097	9945
7590 02/06/2009 Hughes Electronics Corporation			EXAMINER	
Patent Docket Administration Bldg, 001, M/S A109 PO Box 956			LOUIE, OSCAR A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
El Segundo, CA 90245-0956			2436	
			MATE DATE:	DEL HEDVI CODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/790.466 DULAC, STEPHEN P. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit OSCAR A. LOUIE 2436 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) OSCAR A. LOUIE. (4)\_\_\_\_. (2) Victor Cooper. Date of Interview: 04 February 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: 1-19. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed suggestions for proposed subject matter found in the applicant's Specification but not found in the current claim language, which if brought into the claims should better differentiate the applicant's claims from the prior art of record, pending further search and reconsideration. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/O A I /

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436